

Overview

- Authors are expected to be aware of, and comply with, best practice in publication ethics including but not limited to those pertaining to authorship (for example avoidance of ghost or guest authorship), dual submission, attribution, plagiarism, image integrity and figure preparation, and competing interests. Authors must also comply with BJGG policies on research ethics.
- Reviewers and editors are required to treat manuscripts fairly and in confidence, and to declare any competing interests. Editors should also abide by the code of conduct for editorial board members.
- We encourage readers to discuss the scientific contents of BJGG articles directly with corresponding authors (by emailing the corresponding author).

If you have concerns about potential errors, research or publication ethics, misconduct, or other issues pertaining to the integrity, validity, or reliability of a BJGG article or submission, please contact BJGG directly. When notifying BJGG of concerns, provide the full citation and DOI of the article in question, details as to your specific concerns, and a declaration of any potential competing interests you have with regard to the authors, funders, and/or sponsors of the article(s) in question.

BJGG will investigate concerns raised about BJGG submissions or publications regardless of the time since publication or study completion, and regardless of whether issues are raised internally, by authors, or by anonymous, pseudonymous, or named third parties. We will take steps to correct or clarify the scientific record if necessary, which may include issuing an editorial note, correction, expression of

concern, or retraction.

While BJGG values transparency in scientific communications, we also protect the confidentiality of those who raise publication ethics or research integrity concerns, where possible, so as to minimize personal and professional risks to those individuals. We consider information and materials received in ethics case follow-up discussions as confidential, but we reserve the right to share relevant information with others involved in the case (e.g. editors, reviewers, other journals, affected data repositories), discuss the case at a COPE forum, and/or contact authors' institutions, funders or regulatory bodies, in accordance with COPE guidelines. We cooperate with institutions looking into issues that pertain to BJGG content, and in doing so we share information as needed to support the institution's proceedings.

Compliance with BJGG policies

Reviewers and editors must comply with all BJGG policies, including but not limited to this Ethical Peer Review policy, the Code of Conduct for Editorial Board Members, and BJGG policies on Standards for Professional Behavior, Confidentiality, and Competing Interests.

- Editors are expected to decline invitations to handle submissions for which they may have a potential competing interest.
- Reviewers should declare any potential competing interests on the review form, and should decline invitations if competing interests may impact one's ability to provide an objective and unbiased evaluation.
- If a reviewer has declared a competing interest, the handling editor should consider potential impacts of the conflict when evaluating the reviewer's comments, and secure at least on

review from an individual who does not have a potential conflict.