

- Reviewers must declare on the review form if they obtained any third-party support for their review; the name(s) of anyone providing such support must be provided. Unpublished submissions should not be shared (including within one's research group) with anyone who is not declared as a contributor to peer review. All relevant sources should be cited in the review or decision letter comments. Everyone who contributes to peer review is expected to abide by BJGG policies.
- Authors, editors, and reviewers must not attempt to influence others' contributions to peer review, and editors and reviewers must not attempt to use or manipulate the peer review process for personal gain.
- Editorial board members must not alter review content. Concerns about comments in reviews or decision letters should be referred to the journal office and BJGG staff will intervene or follow-up as needed. However, editors are welcome to use the body of the decision letter to inform authors if a reviewer's request does not need to be addressed in revisions, for example if the editor considers the request as optional or out of line with BJGG policies, or if the editor disagrees with the reviewer's comment.
- Artificial intelligence (AI) tools in peer review
- Peer review feedback and decision letters should reflect reviewers' and editors' personal assessments of submitted content. AI tools cannot serve as reviewers or as decision-issuing editors.
- Any use of AI tools in peer review (e.g. for data assessment, translation, or language editing) must be clearly disclosed to authors in the review form (reviewers) or decision letter (editors).
- As is noted in our Confidentiality policy, unpublished submittio

content must be held as strictly confidential. Editorial board members and reviewers should not upload submissions to web platforms, databases, generative AI tools, or other services.

- Protection of reviewer identity and the use of pseudonyms or false names
- BJGG gives reviewers the option of signing their names to reviews.
- Reviewers must use their legal or professional names and should provide their current institutional affiliation and institution-hosted email address. Reviewers must not impersonate others or use pseudonyms or false names. Reviewers and editors are responsible for ensuring that a secure, direct email address is used for all peer review correspondence; shared accounts should not be used.
- How to report concerns about unethical practices in peer review
- Please email revistabgg@gmail.com if you have questions or concerns about compliance with this policy, or if you are aware of issues that may impact the integrity of a BJGG submission's peer review. BJGG staff will follow-up and take action as appropriate. If concerns about peer review integrity are confirmed by BJGG, we may take actions such as reassigning a submission to a different editor, offboarding an editorial board member, excluding a reviewer from peer review, omitting a reviewer's comments from a decision letter, reporting concerns to the relevant institution(s), and/or editorial action on affected submissions/publications (e.g. rejection, retraction, publication of a editorial notice).