

BJGG will follow up on any and all breaches of confidentiality in accordance with COPE guidelines and BJGG policies. If there are special circumstances such that you wish to disclose confidential information about a BJGG submission or its peer review (e.g. to another journal, an institution, or a legal representative), please contact the journal office to discuss the situation and request permission.

Early sharing and public reviews of unpublished work

BJGG encourages and supports early sharing of research via preprint servers. Authors may also, at their discretion, discuss their unpublished research with colleagues or present their unpublished findings (e.g. at conferences) for scientific purposes. When discussing research that has not been accepted for publication with the media, authors should stress that the work is still undergoing peer review, and not disclose the journal where the work is under consideration.

If authors elect to post their BJGG submission on a preprint server institutional server, or other forum prior to publication, editors and reviewers are welcome to attach their review comments to the preprint if this option is supported by the server. This does not replace submission of reviews and editors' comments directly to BJGG.

Confidentiality of unpublished submissions that are not shared on preprint servers

If authors have not elected to share their unpublished work on a public preprint server, the submitted material should be treated as strictly confidential until published.

- We expect that editors and reviewers will not make use of any

material or take advantage of any information they gain through the peer review process.

- Editors and reviewers should not share information about unpublished BJGG submissions with anyone who is not directly involved in the submission's peer review process without explicit permission from BJGG.
- As is stated in our policy, submission content may not be uploaded by handling editors or reviewers to generative AI platforms, websites, databases, or other tools; this is essential for protecting the confidentiality of unpublished work.

Confidentiality and peer review

Reviewers have the option of disclosing their identities to authors by signing their reviews. If reviewers choose not to sign their reviews, BJGG maintains their confidentiality during and after a manuscript's/article's review.

Correspondence as part of the BJGG review process is to be treated confidentially by all parties, including authors. Communications between editorial board members, reviewers, and authors that are related to a PLOS submission's peer review should be conducted through the the BJGG publishing manage system, ScholarOne.

Anonymity

Reviewers may identify themselves by signing their names at the time reviews are submitted, if they wish.